

February 10, 2017

Hi Kevin,

Here is a chronological accounting of the complaint and workman's compensation complaint:

1. **Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)**

2. **On July 10, 2015** - I was required to attend a WES meeting in Suite 259C with the Long Term Care staff and the Customer Service Center staff to discuss case transfers. I sat in one of chairs that I believe was sprayed or spot treated for over 2 hours on July 7, 2015 per the reports from Presto – X company and per the Manager's notes dated on July 13, 2015.

3.

4.

5. **Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)**

6.

7.

8.

9.

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

10

11

14

14

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

14

15

16

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

17

18

19

20

21

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

22

23

24

25

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

26

27

complaint. At the same time, OSHA (George Zak) was conducting the investigation, Mr. Zak interviewed the employees at Northrop Grumman explaining that the chemical was as safe as DEET just as he said on the phone, he recorded conversations with all of the employees asking

them if they had symptoms. I passed out some literature that the chemical was safe and green. We had been told by the staff of NG and Deborah Munn/Dana Pepmeier that OSHA had cleared the building. This was on the email from Coleen Collins to the State employees noted above. So we asked for a copy of the cleared building. The request went from George Zak to Christian Graham. [Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)] requested this from Christian Graham at which he referred her to the Attorney General's office to talk to Mr. Mick Finn. Mr. Finn's office took a message and never returned the call nor ever provided a copy of the cleared building.

28

29

30

31

32

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

33

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

these statements :

Talked to the OSHA guy today, and asked about them spraying 4 times. He said that he is there because a complaint was made and he is investigating whether or not the company sprayed correctly. He said his report is still ongoing but will wrap up in a few weeks.

He said that people react differently to the chemicals but they are "green". He said that it is possible to have reactions from it and that not everyone will. It's based on different people's immune system.

I asked if the levels and amounts sprayed were safe and he said "yes".

He said it's a green product that is safe but that some people can have reactions, he encouraged me to talk to workmans' comp if I needed to.

He said this product is not generally harmful...but that all people react differently. He said toxicology couldn't even test for it as a pesticide in the carpet because it's nonhazard.

Ok! I just know now how the other side is spinning it and it doesn't look good. They're going to pin you as a rebel rousers..taking advantage of the system. Making anonymous complaints instead of leaving your name in order to help facilitate the investigation. I asked how the Governor's office got involved he said it was to report that OSHA wasn't doing a complete investigation. And the investigation is still open but that he will be wrapping it up and reporting his findings in a few weeks.

Well he said it was because it's not a harmful pesticide, it's green...just letting you know what he's telling people and what you'd have to fight.

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

37.

38.

39.

40.

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

41.

42.

43.

44.

4

4

4

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

4

49. **January 25, 2016** – After **Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)** contacted George Zak to complain that they did not receive any of this information and was not notified about the meeting in December with the NG and State of Wyoming Staff regarding these results. **Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)** received the copy of the information by email released to NG and the State of Wyoming Department of Health from George Zak. It included the sample sheet, 3 sprays, and 2 NPIC Bifenthrin sheets.

50.

51.

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

52.

53.

54.

55.

56.

57.

58.

59.

60.

61.

62.

63.

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

64.

65.

66.

67.

68.

69.

70.

71
72

73
74
75
76

77
78
79
80

81
82
83

84
85
86

87

88
89

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

90. **October 2016** – Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C) contacted Sherrie Kinard from the EPA to ask about the reason they never contacted us on the reports filed over a year prior. Sherrie explained that she never received the complaints and took our information. She assured us that she would find those complaints and needed us to send her all of our information. If the information was verified, she would look at filing possible Federal charges against the pesticide company. We emailed

her our documents, doctors' notes and diagnosis, as well as the reports from the citation/investigation from the Cheyenne, WY OSHA office.

91. **October 2016** – Contacted Brian asking him if we found that this information was plausible, would he be in a position to take on the new claim. This claim was very draining and very complicated. Brian has not had prior experience with this type of new claim and said he did not think this would work for him especially in light of his new cases. He would still handle any of the stuff from the original case. I told him that I appreciated his honesty and that I would look for another attorney to look at this new information.
92. **October 2016** – I contacted Lynn Boak and ask her to look over my files and case. I explained that I was going to file a new claim if the doctor's felt that this was plausible.
93. **October 16, 2016** – I contacted John Ysebaert from the Department of Labor/OSHA office out of Denver, CO regarding my rights under the Whistleblower's Act. He referred me to Mick Finn as to why I was not entitled to those protections. I sent both Mr. Finn and Mr. Ysebaert the emails from Van Snow (Atty General's office and the complaint to the Cheyenne OSHA office) showing that they were both in the time.
94. **November 15, 2016** – Last email communication between Mr. Finn (Attorney General's Office and myself where Mr. Finn says he will no longer discuss why he will not answer if I met all the requirements to file for a Whistleblower and request an investigation, why I was denied those required processes and protectgions.
95. **November 2016** – Lynn Boak called after reviewing my files and case. She said that if the Toxicologist determined that there was a connection, she was interested in taking the case.
96. **November 2016** – Received my complaint details from Jessica Jeanty Department of Justice for the Department of Labor.

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

105.

Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)

106. **February 1, 2017** – Contacted Sherrie Kinard for the updated status of the investigation with Presto-X. She stated that she, her boss and some other inspectors came to the unanimous decision that they were assigning this to a lawyer being scheduled on February 2, 2017. There would not be any reason for a site visit and there were three, possibly four counts and the medical (bodily harm) was on top of the other charges.

107. **February 6, 2017** – **Ex. 6 PP / Ex. 7(C)**